

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,823	06/21/2001		Andres Bryant	BUR920000059US1	6851	
23123	7590	07/23/2004		EXAMINER		
SCHMEIS	SCHMEISER OLSEN & WATTS				ABRAHAM, FETSUM	
18 E UNIVI		RIVE		1771717	DARED MIR (DED	
SUITE # 101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MESA, AZ, 85201				2826		

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/886,823	BRYANT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Fetsum Abraham	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	ıly 2002.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 25-30,32 and 34-40 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 36-40 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) the rest is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abe	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received ir rity documents have be	Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Flatent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	
<del></del>	. —		

Application/Control Number: 09/886,823

Art Unit: 2826

## Claims rejection

As a preliminary matter, the IDS documents submitted by applicant have been considered and applicant must attach the associated PTO-FORM 1449 in the next response for official acknowledgement.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-30,32,34,35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watt (6,586,296) in view of Melen (4,041,519).

As for claims 25,26, the primary reference discloses an N-type and a P-type transistors on a substrate having gates of different firmi levels by virtue of the difference in material used to make the gates (see column 1, 50-60).

Although it is notoriously known by the art to make transistors with vertical edges and that particular structure missing in the prior art, the secondary reference, however, clearly shows the structure as discussed in the first action. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to terminate transistors with vertical edges, since straight-line cutting is the simplest method of individuating multiple transistors made on a single wafer.

As for claim 27, the claimed conductivity types of the gates are duplicates of the prior art as taught in column 1, lines 50-60.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,823

Art Unit: 2826

As for claim 28, SOI based transistors are commercially available and preferred products compared to regular transistors specifically for their superiority in noise and leakage issues. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to form transistors on insulating substrates for these advantages.

As for claim 29, the gates of the prior art are made from polysilicon materials.

As for claim 30, there is no field effect transistor without gate insulation layer between the specified layers and the transistors of the prior arts are provided with gate insulation layers at the exact claimed positions.

As for claim 32, the primary prior art has a unformed impurity body density.

As for claim 34, the formula is generic to MOSFETs and the net result of the doping density is expected to conform to the commonly accepted density in the art unless proven to be different and the criticality of the formula in producing a doping level outside the commonly accepted range of densities. Please note that substrate doping density is variable in nature that differs from a design to another based on desired conductance, resistance and other issues such as leakage characteristics and etc.

As for claim 35, transistor edges are commonly known to be formed on opposing sides and perpendicular to the bottom and top surfaces of substrates. The structure in the secondary reference also confirms to the claimed specifics.

Claims 36-40 have been allowed.

The n-type and p-type polysilicon gates of the claimed dual gated vertical transistor is neither taught nor rendered obvious by the prior art. Although PN:

Application/Control Number: 09/886,823

Art Unit: 2826

5,032,529 may have polysilicon gates in a single dual gated vertical transistor, it, however, omits the conductivity types of the poly-gates.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to PN: 5,032,529.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915.

et/sum/*A*oraham